



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_4618
Title of the Manuscript:	Generalized Nash Equilibria of Nonmonetized Noncooperative Games on Lattices
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This paper provides a generalization of the Nash equilibrium concept in non-monetized games when the players' strategies and the outcome spaces are lattices. The contribution of the paper is new and of some interest to specialists. I therefore recommend that the manuscript be accepted for publication subject to a minor revision. I will enumerate all suggestions according to their appearance in the paper (independently of their importance). 1. I think that the founding papers of Nash (1950, 1951) should be cited in the introduction. 2. In the first page, second paragraph: "For most games in game theory, all utilities of the players are real valued functions.....". I think that there is much literature in games theory and in its related fields where the utilities of the players are	We made all changes suggested by the reviewers: The two founding papers of Nash (1950, 1951) have been cited in the introduction and in the references. In the first page, the second paragraph has been changed according to the reviewers' suggestion.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	not real valued functions as in Social Choice theory, Voting games, Implementation Theory... 3. Page 2, Line 6 at the bottom (and in the rest of the paper) : “$2^U \setminus \emptyset$” should be: “$2^U \setminus \{\emptyset\}$” 4. Page 10, Lemma 4.2, “$X = X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times S_n$» should be “$X = X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_n$». 5. I think that, in this paper, it is very suitable that the authors give some simple examples that explain how work these generalized versions of Nash equilibrium.	3. The missed symbol { } has been added on page 2, line 6 and in the rest of this paper. 4. S has been changed to X. 5. An example has been added in the conclusion section.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		